

## HYPERSTABILITY OF A SUM FORM FUNCTIONAL EQUATION RELATED DISTANCE MEASURES

YOUNG WHAN LEE

ABSTRACT. The functional equation related to a distance measure

$$f(pr, qs) + f(ps, qr) = M(r, s)f(p, q) + M(p, q)f(r, s)$$

can be generalized a sum form functional equation as follows

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = M(Q)f(P) + M(P)f(Q)$$

where  $f, g$  is information measures,  $P$  and  $Q$  are the set of  $n$ -array discrete measure, and  $\sigma_i$  is a permutation for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . In this paper, we obtain the hyperstability of the above type functional equation.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let  $(G, \cdot)$  denote a group and  $X$  a real normed algebra. Also let  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of real and complex numbers, respectively. Let  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x > 0\}$  be a set of positive real numbers.

Chung et al. [2] solved the following functional equation related to distance measures

$$(1.1) \quad f(pr, qs) + f(ps, qr) = (r + s)f(p, q) + (p + q)f(r, s)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad f(pr, qs) + f(ps, qr) = f(p, q)f(r, s)$$

for all  $p, q, r, s$  in the open interval  $(0, 1)$  and Elfen et al. [1] solved the general solution  $f : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the following functional equation

$$f(pr, qs) + f(sp, rq) = 2f(p, q) + 2f(r, s)$$

---

Received November 18, 2019; Accepted January 31, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B82, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: superstability, hyperstability, stability of functional equation.

This research was supported by the Daejeon University Research Grants (2019).

for all  $p, q, r, s \in G$ .

Y. W. Lee and G. H. Kim [3] investigate the superstability for a generalized form of the equation (1.2)

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P)f(Q)$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ , where  $f : G^n \rightarrow G$  is a function and  $\sigma_i$  is a permutation on  $G$ .

In 2001, G. Maksa and Z. Páles [4] proved a new type of stability of a class of linear functional equation

$$(1.3) \quad f(s) + f(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(s\varphi_i(t)), \quad s, t \in S$$

where  $f$  is a functional on a semigroup  $S := (S, \cdot)$  and where  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n : S \rightarrow S$  pairwise distinct automorphisms of  $S$  such that the set  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$  is a group with the operation of composition of mappings. More precisely, they proved that if the error bound for the difference of two sides of (1.3) satisfies a certain asymptotic property, then in fact, the two sides have to be equal. Such a phenomenon is called the *hyperstability* of the functional equation on  $S$ .

In 2015, M. Sirouni and S. Kabbaj [6] investigated of the hyperstability of an Euler-Lagrange type quadratic functional equation

$$f(x+y) + \frac{f(x-y) + f(y-x)}{2} = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

in class of functions from an abelian group into Banach space. This equation is established the general solution and stability by M. J. Rassias [5].

In this paper, we obtain a generalized form of the equation (1.1) related to distance measures and prove the hyperstability of following functional equations

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = M(Q)f(P) + M(P)f(Q),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = & M(Q)f(P) + M(P)f(Q) \\ & + M(P \cdot Q)\theta(M(P), M(Q)), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P) + f(Q)$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P) + f(Q) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)).$$

## 2. Hyperstability of equations

For all  $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n) \in G^n$ , let  $\sigma_i : G^n \rightarrow G^n$  be a permutation given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_0(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) &= (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), \\ \sigma_i(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) &= (p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $P \cdot Q = (p_1 q_1, p_2 q_2, \dots, p_n q_n)$ .

It can be easily checked that for all  $P, Q, W \in G$  and  $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \sigma_n(P) = \sigma_0 P, \\ (b) \quad & \sigma_{n+j}(P) = \sigma_j P, \\ (c) \quad & (P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) \cdot \sigma_j(W) = P \cdot (\sigma_i(Q) \cdot \sigma_j(W)), \\ (d) \quad & P \cdot \sigma_i(Q \cdot \sigma_j(W)) = P \cdot \sigma_i(Q) \cdot (\sigma_i \sigma_j(W)), \\ (e) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i(Q) \cdot \sigma_j(W) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i(Q) \cdot \sigma_{i+j}(W). \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, any solution  $M : G^n \rightarrow X$  of the functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} M(P \cdot Q) &= M(P)M(Q), \\ M(\sigma_i(P)) &= M(P), \quad (P, Q \in G^n, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) \end{aligned}$$

is called a *strong multiplicative* function. For example, consider a function  $M : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $M(P) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$  for any  $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $M$  is strong multiplicative.

Note that any solution  $F : G \times G \rightarrow X$  of the functional equation

$$F(x, y) + F(xy, z) = F(x, yz) + F(y, z), \quad (x, y, z \in G)$$

is called a *cocycle* on  $G \times G$  into  $X$  and the equation is called the *cocycle equation*. It is well known that the cocycle equation play an important role in the hyperstability.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $f : G^n \rightarrow X$  be an arbitrary function,  $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow X$  a cocycle and  $M : G^n \rightarrow X$  a strong multiplicative function. Then the function  $F : G^n \rightarrow S$  defined by

$$F(P, Q) = f(P) + f(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)), \quad (P, Q \in G^n)$$

satisfies the following functional equation

(2.1)

$$F(P, Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) = F(Q, W) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W))$$

for all  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ .

*Proof.* (1) For all  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(P, Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) \\ &= f(P) + f(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) + f(W) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q) \cdot \sigma_j(W)) \right\} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta(M(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)), M(W)) \\ &= f(P) + f(Q) + f(W) - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q) \cdot \sigma_j(W)) \\ & \quad + \theta(M(P), M(Q)) + \theta(M(P)M(Q), M(W)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Similarly, for all  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(Q, W) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) \\
&= f(Q) + f(W) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) + \theta(M(Q), M(W)) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\{ f(P) + f(Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_j(Q \cdot \sigma_i(W))) \right\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta(M(P), M(Q \cdot \sigma_i(W))) \\
&= f(Q) + f(W) + f(P) - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q \cdot \sigma_j(W))) \\
&\quad + \theta(M(Q), M(W)) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)(W)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since, for any  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(M(P), M(Q)) + \theta(M(P)M(Q), M(W)) \\
&= \theta(M(Q), M(W)) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)M(W)).
\end{aligned}$$

The functional equation (2.1) turn out to be valid.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M : G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a strong multiplicative function with  $M(P_0) > 1$  for some  $P_0 \in G^n$ . Assume also that a function  $\theta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a cocycle and a function  $f : G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - M(Q)f(P) - M(P)f(Q) \right. \\
(2.2) \quad & \left. - M(P \cdot Q)\theta(M(p), M(Q)) \right| \leq \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ . Then  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.5).

That is, for all  $P, Q \in G^n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = M(Q)f(P) + M(P)f(Q) + M(P \cdot Q)\theta(M(p), M(Q)).$$

*Proof.* For any  $P, Q \in G^n$ , we define

$$L(P) := \frac{f(P)}{M(P)}$$

and

$$F(P, Q) := L(P) + L(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) + M(P \cdot Q) \theta(M(p), M(Q)).$$

With these notations, the stability inequality (2.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(P, Q) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - L(P) - L(Q) + \theta(M(p), M(Q)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{M(P)M(Q)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F(P, Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) = F(Q, W) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W))$$

for all  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ . Letting  $P = P_0^k$ , we have, for any  $Q, W \in G^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F(Q, W) \right| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P_0^k, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| F(P_0^k, Q) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P_0^k \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{M(P_0)^k M(Q \cdot W)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{M(P_0)^k M(Q)} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{M(P_0)^k M(Q \cdot W)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{M(P_0)^k} \left( \frac{2n\varepsilon}{M(Q \cdot W)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{M(Q)} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . And so  $F(Q, W) = 0$  for all  $Q, W \in G^n$ . Thus  $f$  is a solution of (1.5).  $\square$

By Theorem 2.2 with  $\theta = 0$ , we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M : G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a strong multiplicative function with  $M(P_0) > 0$  for some  $P_0 \in G^n$ . Assume also that a function  $f : G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the inequality*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - M(Q)f(P) - M(P)f(Q) \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ . Then  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.4). That is, for all  $P, Q \in G^n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = M(Q)f(P) + M(P)f(Q).$$

COROLLARY 2.4. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - \left( \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right) f(P) - \left( \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \right) f(Q) \right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \right) f(P) + \left( \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \right) f(Q)$$

for all  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M(P) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$  for any  $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then  $M$  is a strong multiplicative function and  $M(P_0) = 2$  for  $P_0 = (2, 1, \dots, 1)$ . By Theorem 2.3, we complete the proof.  $\square$

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $\varepsilon : G^n \times G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be function for which there exists a sequence  $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $G^n$  satisfying the following condition:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q) = 0, \quad P, Q \in G^n.$$

Also let  $M : G^n \rightarrow X$  be a strong multiplicative function, a function  $\theta : S \times S \rightarrow X$  be a cocycle, and a function  $f : G^n \rightarrow X$  satisfy the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - f(P) - f(Q) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)) \right\| \leq \varepsilon(P, Q)$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ . Then  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.7). That is, for all  $P, Q \in G^n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P) + f(Q) + \theta(M(P), M(Q)).$$

*Proof.* For any  $P, Q \in G^n$ , we define

$$F(P, Q) := L(P) + L(Q) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} L(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) + M(P \cdot Q) \theta(M(P), M(Q)).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$F(P, Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) = F(Q, W) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W))$$

for all  $P, Q, W \in G^n$ . Letting  $P = W_k \cdot P$ , we have, for any  $Q, W \in G^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(Q, W)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(W_k \cdot P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| F(W_k \cdot P, Q) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} F(W_k \cdot P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q \cdot \sigma_i(W)) + \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P \cdot \sigma_i(Q), W) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . And so  $F(Q, W) = 0$  for all  $Q, W \in G^n$ . Thus  $f$  is a solution of (1.7). □

By Theorem 2.5 with  $\theta = 0$ , we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $\varepsilon : G^n \times G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be function for which there exists a sequence  $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $G^n$  satisfying the following condition:*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q) = 0, \quad P, Q \in G^n.$$

Assume also that a function  $f : G^n \rightarrow X$  satisfy the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - f(P) - f(Q) \right\| \leq \varepsilon(P, Q)$$

for all  $P, Q \in G^n$ . Then  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.6). That is, for all  $P, Q \in G^n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P) + f(Q).$$

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $\varepsilon : G^n \times G^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be function for which there exists a sequence  $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $G^n$  satisfying the following condition:*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q) = 0, \quad P, Q \in G^n.$$



and let a function  $\theta : S \times S \rightarrow X$  be a cocycle. Assume also that a function  $f : G^n \rightarrow X$  satisfy the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - f(P) - f(Q) + \theta\left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i, \prod_{i=1}^n q_i\right) \right\| \leq \varepsilon(P, Q)$$

for all  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in G^n$ . Then, for all  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in G^n$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) = f(P) + f(Q) + \theta\left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i, \prod_{i=1}^n q_i\right).$$

**COROLLARY 2.8.** Assume that a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow X$  satisfy the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(P \cdot \sigma_i(Q)) - f(P) - f(Q) \right\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \prod_{i=1}^n q_i$$

for all  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.6).

*Proof.* Define a function  $\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by  $\varepsilon(P, Q) := \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \prod_{i=1}^n q_i$  for any  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Choose a sequence  $W_k = (\frac{1}{k}, \dots, \frac{1}{k})$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . Then

$$\varepsilon(W_k \cdot P, Q) = \frac{1}{k^n} \prod_{i=1}^n p_i \prod_{i=1}^n q_i \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n), Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . By Theorem 4,  $f$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.6).  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 2.9.** Assume that a function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow X$  satisfy the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(p_1 q_1, p_2 q_2, p_3 q_3) + f(p_1 q_2, p_2 q_3, p_3 q_1) + f(p_1 q_3, p_2 q_1, p_3 q_2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 3f(p_1, p_2, p_3) - 3f(q_1, q_2, q_3) \right\| \\ & \leq p_1 p_2 p_3 q_1 q_2 q_3 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $P = (p_1, p_2, p_3), Q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(p_1 q_1, p_2 q_2, p_3 q_3) + f(p_1 q_2, p_2 q_3, p_3 q_1) + f(p_1 q_3, p_2 q_1, p_3 q_2) \\ & = 3f(p_1, p_2, p_3) + 3f(q_1, q_2, q_3) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $P = (p_1, p_2, p_3), Q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3$ .

### References

- [1] H. H. Elfen, T. Riedel, and P. K. Sahoo, *A variant of the quadratic functional equation on groups an an application*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **54** (2017), no. 6, 2165-3016.
- [2] J. K. Chung, Pl. Kannappan, C. T. Ng, and P. K. Sahoo, *Measures of distance between probability distributions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **138** (1989), 280-292.
- [3] Y. W. Lee and G. H. Kim, *Superstability of the functional equation related to distance measures*, J. Inequality and Application, DOI: 10.1186/s13660-015-0880-4 (2015)
- [4] G. Maksa and Z Páles, *Hyperstability of a class of linear functional equations*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyiregyháziensis, **17** (2001), no. 2, 107-112.
- [5] M. J. Rassias, *J. M. Rassias product-sum stability of an Euler-Lagrange functional equation*, J. Nonlinear Science and its App., **3** (2010), no. 4, 265-271.
- [6] M. Sirouni and S. Kabbaj, *The  $\varepsilon$ -hyperstability of an Euler-lagrange type quadratic functional equations in Banach spaces*, British J. of Math. & Computer Science, **6** (2015), no. 6, 481-493.

Department of Information Security,  
Daejeon University,  
Daejeon 300-716, Korea  
*E-mail*: ywlee@dju.kr